| BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS, | Docket Number: 61108 |
| :--- | :--- |
| STATE OF COLORADO |  |
| 1313 Sherman Street, Room 315 |  |
| Denver, Colorado 80203 |  |${ }^{\text {Petitioner: }}$| LIN MERAGE , |
| :--- |
| v. |
| Respondent: |
| GRAND COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION. |

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been approved by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: R300870

## Category: Valuation Property Type: Residential

2. Petitioner is protesting the 2012 actual value of the subject property.
3. The parties agreed that the 2012 actual value of the subject property should be reduced to:

Total Value: $\quad \$ 887,500$
(Reference Attached Stipulation)
4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

## ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2012 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Grand County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED AND MAILED this 3rd day of April 2012.

## BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

Seen 0 Baumbach
Diane M. DeVries


Debra A. Baumbach
I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the derision of the Board of Assessment Appeals.
Cara McKeller
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Single County Schedule Number: R300870

STIPULATION (As to AbalemenURefund forTax Year _ $2012 \ldots$ )

LIN MERAGE

Pelitioner,
vs.

GRAND
COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS.
Respondent.

Pelitioner(s) and Respondent hereby enter into this Stipulation regarding the tax year 2012 Assessment Appeals to enter its order based on this stipulation.

Petitioner(s) and Respondent agree and stipulate as follows:

1. The property subject to this stipulation is described as: tonahuTu ridge at grand lake Lodge lot 14
2. The subject properly is classified as Single Family Res (what lype of property).
3. The Counly Assessor originally assigned the following actual value to the subject property for tax year $\qquad$ 2012 :

| Land | \$ | 469.600.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| improvements | \$ | 915,220,00 |
| Tolal | \$ | ,384,820.00 |

4. After a timely appeal to the Board of Commissioners, the Board of Commissioners valued the subject property as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Land } \\
\text { improvements }
\end{array} & \$-\frac{469,600.00}{\$ 15,220} .00 \\
\text { Tolal } & \$ \mathbf{1 , 3 8 4}, 820.00
\end{array}
$$

5. After further review and negoliation, Petitioner(s) and Counly Board of Commissioners agree to the following tax year $\qquad$ actual value for the subject properly:

| Land | $\$$ | $250,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Improvements | $\$-$ | $637,306.00$ |
| Total | $\$ \_$ | $887,500.00$ |

6. The valuation, as established above, shall be binding only with respect to tax year $\qquad$ 2012
7. Brief narralive as to why the reduction was made:

Residential improvement has uncepaized wator damage from alleqed structual issues that are in current litigation.

B. Both parties agree that the hearing scheduled before the Board of Assessment Appeals on $\qquad$ (date) at 8:30 AM (time) be vacalad or a hearing has not yet been scheduled before the Board of Assessment Appeals.
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