| BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS, | Docket Number: 57940 |
| :--- | :--- |
| STATE OF COLORADO |  |
| 1313 Sherman Street, Room 315 |  |
| Denver, Colorado 80203 |  |$\quad$.

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been approved by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

## FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: R003439

## Category: Valuation Property Type: Commercial Real

2. Petitioner is protesting the 2011 actual value of the subject property.
3. The parties agreed that the 2011 actual value of the subject property should be reduced to:

Total Value: $\quad \$ \mathbf{8 5 0 , 0 0 0}$
(Reference Attached Stipulation)
4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

## ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2011 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Gunnison County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED AND MAILED this 23rd day of January 2012.

## BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

Miner xaytices
Diane M. DeVries
I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the decision of the Board of Assessment Appeals.

Bern a Baumbach

Debra A. Baumbach
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Docket Number: 57940
Single County Schedule Number: R003439

STIPULATION (As to Abatement/Refund forTax Year $\qquad$ 2011

STORAGE ONE CRESTED BUTTE, LLC

Petitioner,
vs.
GUNNISON
COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS,
Respondent.

Petitioner(s) and Respondent hereby enter into this Stipulation regarding the tax year $\qquad$ valuation of the subject property, and jointly move the Board of Assessment Appeals to enter its order based on this stipulation.

Petitioner(s) and Respondent agree and stipulate as follows:

1. The property subject to this stipulation is described as:

LOTS 17-24 BLOCK 45 TOWN OF CRESTED BUTTE
2. The subject property is classified as $\qquad$ COMMERCIAL $\qquad$ (what type of property).
3. The County Assessor criginally assigned the following actual value to the subject property for tax year 2011

| Land | $\$ \quad 863,700.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Improvements | $\$ \quad 583,200.00$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,446,900.00$ |

4. After a timely appeal to the Board of Commissioners, the Board of Commissioners valued the subject property as follows:

| Land | $\$ \quad 863,700.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Improvements | $\$ \quad 583,200.00$ |
| Total | $\$ .1,446,900.00$ |

5. After further review and negotiation, Petitioners) and County Board of Commissioners agree to the following tax year $\qquad$ actual value for the subject property:

| Land | $\$$ | $760,500.00$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Improvements | $\$$ | $89,500.00$ |
| Total | $\$$ | $850,000.00$ |

6. The valuation, as established above, shall be binding only with respect to tax year $\qquad$ 2011
7. Brief narrative as to why the reduction was made:

RESULTING VALUATION FROM USPAP STANDARD 2 APPRAISAL REPORT RECONCILING THE THREE APPROACHES TO VALUE
8. Both parties agree that the hearing scheduled before the Board of Assessment Appeals on JANUARY 19, 2012 (date) at 8:30 AM (time) be vacated or a hearing has not yet been scheduled before the Board of Assessment Appeals.
 Board of Commissioners
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