

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS, STATE OF COLORADO 1313 Sherman Street, Room 315 Denver, Colorado 80203	Docket Number: 52162
Petitioner: YALE PLACE PARTNERSHIP LLP, v. Respondent: ARAPAHOE COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.	
ORDER ON STIPULATION	

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been approved by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: 2075-35-2-12-001+1

Category: Valuation Property Type: Commercial Real
2. Petitioner is protesting the 2009 actual value of the subject property.
3. The parties agreed that the 2009 actual value of the subject property should be reduced to:

Total Value: \$4,100,000
 (Reference Attached Stipulation)
4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2009 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Arapahoe County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED AND MAILED this 26th day of May 2010.

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

Karen E Hart

Karen E. Hart

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the decision of the Board of Assessment Appeals.

Cara McKeller

Cara McKeller

Debra A. Baumbach

Debra A. Baumbach



BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS
STATE OF COLORADO
DOCKET NUMBER 52162

APR 21 11 31 AM '08

STIPULATION (As To Tax Year 2009 Actual Value)

YALE PLACE PARTNERSHIP LLP

Petitioners,

vs.

ARAPAHOE COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION,

Respondent.

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, regarding the tax year 2009 valuation of the subject properties and jointly moves the Board of Assessment Appeals to enter its Order based on this stipulation. A conference call with the petitioner and respondent have resulted in the following agreement:

Subject property is classified as COMMERCIAL and described as follows: 56-58 Inverness Dr., County Schedule Number 2075-35-2-12-001, 2075-35-2-12-002.

A brief narrative as to why the reduction was made: Analyzed market and income information.


The parties have agreed that the 2009 actual value of the subject property should be reduced as follows:


ORIGINAL VALUE		NEW VALUE	
2075-35-2-12-001		(2009)	
Land	\$2,324,010	Land	\$2,324,010
Improvements	\$475,990	Improvements	\$214,120
Personal	\$0	Personal	\$0
Total	\$2,800,000	Total	\$2,538,130
2075-35-2-12-002		(2009)	
Land	\$1,250,160	Land	\$1,250,160
Improvements	\$949,840	Improvements	\$311,710
Personal	\$0	Personal	\$0
Total	\$2,200,000	Total	\$1,561,870


The valuation, as established above, shall be binding only with respect to the tax year 2009.

Both parties agree that the hearing before the Board of Assessment Appeals be vacated or is unnecessary if one has not yet been scheduled.

DATED the _____ day of _____, 2010.


Licht & Company
9101 E. Kenyon Ave., Suite 3900
Denver, CO 80237


Kathryn L. Schroeder, #11042
Arapahoe Cnty. Bd. Equalization
5334 S. Prince St.
Littleton, CO 80166-0001


Corbin Sakdol
Arapahoe County Assessor
5334 S. Prince St.
Littleton, CO 80166-0001