BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS, STATE OF COLORADO 1313 Sherman Street, Room 315 Denver, Colorado 80203	Docket Number: 51746			
Petitioner:				
STEVE F. & GALE E. SHAW ,				
v.				
Respondent:				
CHAFFEE COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.				
ORDER ON STIPULATION				

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been approved by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: R326923100201

Category: Valuation Property Type: Residential

- 2. Petitioner is protesting the 2009 actual value of the subject property.
- 3. The parties agreed that the 2009 actual value of the subject property should be reduced to:

Total Value: \$635,000

(Reference Attached Stipulation)

4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2009 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Chaffee County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED AND MAILED this 11th day of June 2010.

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS

Karen & Hart

Karen E. Hart

him a Baumbach

Debra A. Baumbach



I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the decision of the Board of Assessment Appeals.

cholles

Cara McKeller

JUN 0 4 2010

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS STATE OF COLORADO

Docket Number: <u>51746</u> Single County Schedule Number: <u>R326923100201</u>

STIPULATION (As to Tax Year ______ Actual Value)

Steve F. & Gale E. Shaw

Petitioner,

vs.

CHAFFEE

_____ COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION,

Respondent.

Petitioner(s) and Respondent hereby enter into this Stipulation regarding the tax year 2009 valuation of the subject property, and jointly move the Board of Assessment Appeals to enter its order based on this stipulation.

Petitioner(s) and Respondent agree and stipulate as follows:

1. The property subject to this stipulation is described as: PT S2NE4 PT SE4NW4 23-14-79

2. The subject property is classified as <u>Residential</u> (what type of property).

3. The County Assessor originally assigned the following actual value to the subject property for tax year 2009:

Land	\$ 328,930.00
Improvements	\$ 463,106.00
Total	\$ 792,036.00

4. After a timely appeal to the Board of Equalization, the Board of Equalization valued the subject property as follows:

Land	\$	328,930	.00
Improvements	\$	463,106	.00
Total	\$_	792,036	.00

5. After further review and negotiation, Petitioner(s) and County Board of Equalization agree to the following tax year ______ actual value for the subject property:

Land	\$	328,930.	00
Improvements	\$_	306,070	00
Total	\$_	635,000 (00

6. The valuation, as established above, shall be binding only with respect to tax year _____ 2009

7. Brief narrative as to why the reduction was made: After field review of the property it was adjusted to comparables.

8. Both parties agree that the hearing scheduled before the Board of Assessment Appeals on 7/22/2010 (date) at 8:30 (time) be vacated or a hearing has not yet been scheduled before the Board of Assessment Appeals.

[ĘD this ^{Ma}⊁∎ day of ale E Shaw

Petitioner(s) or Agent or Attorney

Address:

17300 C.R. 306 - 4

Telephone: (719) 395 - 9421

27 2010

County Attorney for Respondent, Board of Equalization

Address:

Telephone: (

County Assessor

Address:

Telephone: / 7/9

Docket Number 51746