BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS, STATE OF COLORADO

1313 Sherman Street, Room 315 Denver, Colorado 80203

Petitioner:

GRACE HOLDINGS II,

v.

Respondent:

ARAPAHOE COUNTY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

Attorney or Party Without Attorney for the Petitioner: **Docket Number: 41239**

Name: Ronald S. Loser, Esq.

Robinson Waters and O'Dorisio

Address: 1099 18th Street, Suite 2600

Denver, CO 80202-1926

Phone Number: (303) 297-2600

Attorney Reg. No.: 1685

ORDER ON STIPULATION

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been approved by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: 2075-27-4-16-007

Category: Valuation Property Type: Mixed Use

2. Petitioner is protesting the 2000 actual value of the subject property.

3. The parties agreed that the 2000 actual value of the subject property should be reduced to:

Reference Attached Stipulation

4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2000 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Arapahoe County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED/MAILED this 22nd day of June, 2004.

rest to a side of the control of the	BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS		
This decision was put on the record	1 0 11 1		
June 18, 2004	Karen & Hart		
	Karen E. Hart		
I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the decision of	Sura a. Baumbach		
the Board of Assessment Appeals	Debra A. Baumbach		

Penny S. Lowenthal

138E88W

BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS STATE OF COLORADO **DOCKET NUMBER 41239**

	N (As To Tax Years	2000 Actual Value)		
GRACE HO	LDINGS II,			
Petitioner,				
vs.				
ARAPAHO	E COUNTY BOAR	D OF COMMISSIO	ONERS,	<u> </u>
Respondent.				
valuation of t	he subject property	and jointly move th	e Board of Assessm	ent Appeals to enter its Orde
based on this following agree Subject proper Schedule Nur	s Stipulation. A coreement: erty is classified as nber 2075-27-4-16-0	mixed use and desc 007; 2000 Abmt	ribed as follows: 9	spondent have resulted in the
based on this following agree Subject proper Schedule Nur A brief narrate	s Stipulation. A coreement: erty is classified as nber 2075-27-4-16-0	mixed use and desc 007; 2000 Abmt eduction was made:	ribed as follows: 9	spondent have resulted in th
based on this following agree Subject proper Schedule Nur A brief narrat adjusted resid	s Stipulation. A coreement: erty is classified as aber 2075-27-4-16-0 tive as to why the relential vs. commercial	mixed use and desc 007; 2000 Abmt eduction was made: al classification.	ribed as follows: 9 Analyzed cost, mark	spondent have resulted in the
based on this following agree Subject proper Schedule Nur A brief narrat adjusted resid	s Stipulation. A coreement: erty is classified as mber 2075-27-4-16-0 tive as to why the relential vs. commerciative agreed that the 2	mixed use and desc 007; 2000 Abmt eduction was made: al classification.	ribed as follows: 9 Analyzed cost, marine subject property s NEW VALUE (20)	spondent have resulted in the property of the
based on this following agree Subject proper Schedule Nur A brief narrat adjusted reside The parties has	s Stipulation. A coreement: erty is classified as mber 2075-27-4-16-0 tive as to why the relential vs. commerciative agreed that the 2	mixed use and desc 007; 2000 Abmt eduction was made: al classification.	ribed as follows: 9 Analyzed cost, marine subject property s NEW VALUE (20 ESIDENTIAL	spondent have resulted in the property of the
based on this following agree Subject proper Schedule Nur A brief narrat adjusted resident The parties has ORIGINAL VA	s Stipulation. A coreement: erty is classified as aber 2075-27-4-16-0 tive as to why the relential vs. commerciative agreed that the 2 ALUE \$ 319,824	mixed use and desc 007; 2000 Abmt eduction was made: al classification.	ribed as follows: 9 Analyzed cost, marine subject property s NEW VALUE (20 ESIDENTIAL \$ 32,000	spondent have resulted in the property of the
based on this following agree Subject proper Schedule Nur A brief narrat adjusted reside The parties has ORIGINAL VAL	s Stipulation. A coreement: erty is classified as aber 2075-27-4-16-0 tive as to why the relential vs. commerciative agreed that the 2 ALUE \$ 319,824	mixed use and desc 007; 2000 Abmt eduction was made: al classification. 000 actual value of the	ribed as follows: 9 Analyzed cost, marine subject property s NEW VALUE (20 ESIDENTIAL	spondent have resulted in the property of the

Both parties agree that the hearing before the Board of Assessment Appeals on this matter be vacated or is unnecessary if one has not yet been scheduled.

DATED this 2004. Ronald S. Loser Kathryn L. Schroeder, #11042 Edward G. Bosier 1700 Lincoln St. #1300 Attorney for Respondent Arapahoe County Assessor

Arapahoe County Bd. of Equalization 5334 South Prince Street

Denver, CO 80203

5334 South Prince Street Littleton, CO 80166 Littleton, CO 80166 (303) 795-4600