BOARD OF A STATE OF C 1313 Sherman S Denver, Colorad	treet, Room 315	
Petitioner:		
CMD REALT	Y INVESTMENT FUND III,	
v.		
Respondent:		
ARAPAHOE EQUALIZAT	COUNTY BOARD OF ION.	
Attorney or Party	Without Attorney for the Petitioner:	Docket Number: 40893
Name: Address:	Ronald S. Loser #1685 1700 Lincoln Street #2222 Denver, Colorado 80203	
Phone Number: Attorney Reg. No		
	ODDED ON CTIDUL AT	LON

ORDER ON STIPULATION

THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION entered into a Stipulation, which has been approved by the Board of Assessment Appeals. A copy of the Stipulation is attached and incorporated as a part of this decision.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS:

1. Subject property is described as follows:

County Schedule No.: 1975-30-2-20-001

Category: Valuation Property Type: Commercial

2. Petitioner is protesting the 2002 actual value of the subject property.

3. The parties agreed that the 2002 actual value of the subject property should be reduced to:

Land

\$ 2,382,937.00

Improvements

8,867,063.00

Total

\$11,250,000.00

4. The Board concurs with the Stipulation.

ORDER:

Respondent is ordered to reduce the 2002 actual value of the subject property, as set forth above.

The Arapahoe County Assessor is directed to change his/her records accordingly.

DATED/MAILED this 14th day of February, 2003.

This decision was put on the record

February 13, 2003

I hereby certify that this is a true and correct copy of the decision of the Board of Assessment Appeals **BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS**

alem "

Karen E. Hart

Jura a. B

Debra A. Baumbach

James E. Mogan



BOARD OF ASSESSMENT APPEALS STATE OF COLORADO DOCKET NUMBER 40893

		ctual Value)	<u> </u>
CMD REALTY INVI	ESTMENT FUND III,		温
Petitioner,			<u> </u>
vs.			AM II: 59
ARAPAHOE COUN	TY BOARD OF EQUALIZA	TION,	(PPE)
Respondent.			
	HIS ACTION entered into a Str A conference call with the petit		
Subject property is class	ssified as offices and described	as follows:	
14001 E. Iliff Ave.; Co	ounty Schedule Number 1975-3	30-2-20-001; RA 341-051	
A brief narrative as to	why the reduction was made: A	Analyzed cost, market and	d income information.
The parties have agree	d that the 2002 actual value of	the subject property shou	ld be reduced as follows:
ORIGINAL VA	LUE	NEW VALUE	(2002)
Land	\$ 2,382,937	Land	\$ 2,382,937
Land Improvements	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 10,117,063	Land Improvements	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 8,867,063
Land	\$ 2,382,937	Land	\$ 2,382,937
Land Improvements Personal	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 10,117,063 \$	Land Improvements Personal	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 8,867,063 \$
Land Improvements Personal Total The Board concurs with	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 10,117,063 \$	Land Improvements Personal Total	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 8,867,063 \$
Land Improvements Personal Total The Board concurs with DATED this	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 10,117,063 \$ \$ 12,500,000 h the Stipulation.	Land Improvements Personal Total 2 Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Lan	\$ 2,382,937 \$ 8,867,063 \$ \$ 11,250,000